

Evaluation form

1. Recommendation
[] Publish without changes
Publish taking into consideration the suggestions and corrections below
[] Do not publish as it is. Reformulate substantially, taking into consideration the suggestions, corrections
and changes bellow and resubmit to the AA.
[] Completely reject
2. Material:
Title:
Type of material: [] Article [] Essay (visual or bibliographical)
Type of material. [] Article [] Essay (visual of bibliographical)
3. Structure of the material:
a) Title and subtitles
Do they inform about the content of the material? [] Yes [] No
Do they contribute to the logical organization of the material? [] Yes [] No
Do they need changes? [] Yes [] No
Comments:
b) Abstract
Is there an abstract? [] Yes [] No
Are there keywords? [] Yes [] No
Are they adequate? [] Yes [] No
Comments:
c) Tables, charts and images (if any)
Do they present quality and resolution? Do they present clarity of content? [] Yes [] No
Do the images come with captions? Are they clear and relevant? Are they necessary? [] Yes [] No
Comments:
d) Foonotes
Are they relevant for the understanding of the content presented? [] Yes [] No
C ould some notes be supressed or included in the body of the text? [] Yes [] No
Comments:
e) References
Are the references used up to date and pertinent for the text? [] Yes [] No
Are all the cited materials listed at the end references list? [] Yes [] No
Comments:



4. Material content
The material presents an original research and brings innovative insights? [] Yes [] No
Comments:
Are the goals, ethics and methodological frameworks, and results clearly presented? [] Yes [] No
Comments:
Is there coherence and clarity between the ethical-theoretical framework and the analysis of empirical data?
[] Yes [] No
Comments:
Is there quality and rigor in the argumentative line developed throughout the text.? [] Yes [] No
Comments:
Did the material meet the goals that were set? [] Yes [] No
Comments:
5. General comments
Comments for the Editorial Team:
Comments for the author(s):